

REMARKS

Claims 1 to 10 are pending.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of this response.

As to paragraphs three (3) and four (4) of the Final Office Action, Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 1 to 10 would be allowable, if claims 1 and 2 were rewritten to overcome the indefiniteness rejections under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Since claims 1 and 2, as presented are plainly definite, claims 1 to 10 are allowable.

With respect to paragraph two (2) of the Office Action, claims 1 to 10 were rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112 as indefinite.

While the rejections may not be agreed with, to facilitate matters, claims 1 and 2 have been rewritten to better clarify their subject matter. In particular, as to the specific definiteness issues raised in the Office Action, it is believed that each of claims 1 and 2 as presented obviates the asserted issues, so that claims 1 and 2 are allowable, as are claims 3 to 10 which also depend from claim 1. No new matter has been added and support is provided, for example, in the second paragraph of page four (4) of the present application.

Approval and entry are respectfully requested, as is withdrawal of the indefiniteness rejections.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that claim 1 as presented is allowable, as are its dependent claims 2 to 10.

Conclusion

It is therefore respectfully submitted that all of claims 1 to 10 are allowable. It is therefore respectfully requested that the rejections be withdrawn, since all issues raised have been addressed and obviated. An early and favorable action on the merits is therefore respectfully requested.

Dated: 1/23/2007

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Gerard A. Messina
Reg. No. 35,952

KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004
(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646

ve.
33,865
Harmon C.
DESIKHA